IN'THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

re: Patent application of Eugene M. Pester, III

Examiner:

Serial No. 09/478,078

Bing Q. Bui

Technology Center 2600

Filed: January 5, 2000

Group Art Unit: 2642.

For: EXPERT CALL ANALYZER AND NEXT GENERATION TELEPHONY NETWORK CONFIGURATION SYSTEM

CORRECTED DRAWINGS SUBMISSION AFTER ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop DRAWING REVIEW BRANCH - Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

To the Commissioner for Patents:

A request for corrected drawings was made in a notice of allowability mailed on July 24, 2003 (copy of PTO-37 and PTO-948 attached) for the above-identified application. Applicants submit herewith 48 corrected drawing sheets that correct the defects noted by the Drawing Review Branch. No new matter was added in making the changes.

The issue fee transmittal for the subject application was mailed on October 16, 2003.

Applicant request approval of the enclosed corrected drawings.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING **UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a)**

I hereby certify that this paper, along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date indicated below, with sufficient postage, as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop DRAWING REVIEW BRANCH, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Date: 18 OCTOBER 2003

PHILIP O. POST

Typed or printed name of a person signing certificate

Respectfully submitted,

By:

PHILIP O. POST

USPTO Atty. Registration No. 28,456

INDEL, INC.

Post Office Box 157

Rancocas, New Jersey 08073

Telephone: (609) 267-9000, ext 254

Facsimile: (609) 267-5705 Attorney for Applicant

USPTO CUSTOMER NO. 31855

OIF E VC			
		•	\mathcal{M}
OFT 2 3 2003	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4.>
E. C.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	09/478,078	PESTER, III, EUGEN	IE M.
and the state of t	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bing Q Bui	2642	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31 1. This communication is responsive to 5/9/03.	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap) or other appropriate communication (IGHTS). This application is subject to 3 and MPEP 1308.	oplication. If not include n will be mailed in due o	d course. THIS e at the initiative
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 30-52 (renumbered as 1-23, re	OCT 2 7 7	2003	
 The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examinate. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority until a) All Bome* C) None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have 	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	Technology Cer	nter 2600
2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	· · · · =		ion from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ocuments have been received in this	Hational stage applicat	ion nom me
* Certified copies not received:			
 5. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority to (a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional of the	application has been received. under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. of this communication to file a reply of this application. THIS THREE-MO mitted. Note the attached EXAMINEI ason(s) why the oath or declaration is rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC correction filed, which has be r's Amendment / Comment or in the	complying with the requiper the property of th	EXTENDABLE OTICE OF xaminer. No
each sheet. 9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR	osit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL	must be submitted. N	·
Attachment(s)			
 1⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3⊠ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5⊠ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449), Paper No. § 7□ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	4☐ Interview Sumn <u>5</u> . 6☐ Examiner's Am	nal Patent Application (F nary (PTO-413), Paper endment/Comment tement of Reasons for A	No
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office			

OCT 2 3 2003

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - Patent and Trademark Office PATENT DRAWING REVIEW

OCT 2 7 2003

The drawing(s) filed (insert date)	5	O Ore:
	1	

Technology Center 2600

A. approved by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.

B. objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152 for the reasons indicated below. The Examiner will require

submission of new, corrected drawings when necessary. Corrected drawing n	nust be sumitted according to the instructions on the back of this notice
1. DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(a): Acceptable categories of drawings:	8. ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(i)
Black ink. Color.	Words do not appear on a horizontal, left-to-right fashion
Color drawings are not acceptable until petiton is granted. Fig(s)	when page is either upright or turned so that the top
Pencil and non black ink not permitted. Fig(s)	becomes the right side, except for graphs. Fig(s)
2. PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR 1.84(b)	9. SCALE. 37 CFR 1.84(k) Scale not large enough to show mechanism without
1 full-tone set is required. Fig(s)	crowding when drawing is reduced in size to two-thirds in
Photographs may not be mounted. 37 CFR 1.84(e)	reproduction.
Poor quality (half-tone). Fig(s)	Fig(s)
3. TYPE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(e)	10. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, & LETTERS.
Paper not flexible, strong, white, and durable.	37 CFR 1.84(i)
Fig(s)	Lines numbers & letters not uniformly thick and well
Erasures, alterations, overwritings, interlineations,	defined, clean, durable, and black (poor line quality).
folds, copy machine marks not accepted. Fig(s)	PIG(s)
Mylar, velum paper is not acceptable (too thin).	11. SHADING. 37 CFR 1.84(m)
Fig(s)	Solid black areas pale. Fig(s)
4. SIZE OF PAPER: 37 CFR 1.84(f): Acceptable sizes:	Sollet black shading not permitted. (Fig(s)
21.0 cm by 29.7 cm (DIN size A4)	Shade liges, pale, rough and filured. Fig(s)
21.6 cm by 27.9 cm (8 1/2 x 11 inches)	12. NUMBERS, LETTERS, & REFERENCE CHARACTERS.
All drawing sheets not the same size.	37 CFR 1.84(p)
Sheet(s) Drawings sheets not an acceptable size. Fig(s)	Numbers and reference characters not plain and legible.
5. MARGINS. 37 CFR 1.84(g): Acceptable margins:	Figure/legends are poor. Fig(s)
of the months of the months of the margins.	Numbers and reference characters not oriented in the
Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm	same direction as the view. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(1)
SIZE: A4 Size	Fig(s)
Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm	English alphabet not used. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(2)
SIZE: 81/2 x 11 1 0 0 - / 1 / 1	Figs
Margins flot acceptable. Fig(s) // \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Numbers, letters and reference characters must be at least
Top (T) Left (L)	.32 cm (1/8 inch) in height. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(3)
Right (R) Bottom (B)	Fig(s)
6. VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(h)	13. LEAD LINES. 37 CFR 1.84(q)
REMINDER: Specification may require revision to	Lead lines cross each other. Fig(s)
correspond to drawing changes.	Lead lines missing. Fig(s)
Partial views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2)	14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(1)
Brackets needed to show figure as one entity.	Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals
Fig(s) Views not labeled separately or properly.	beginning with number 1. Sheet(s)
Fig(s)	15. NUMBERING OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(u)
Enlarged view not labeled separetely or properly.	Views not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals,
Fig(s)	beginning with number 1. Fig(s) 16. CORRECTIONS. 37 CFR 1.84(w)
. 15(3)	Corrections not made from prior PTO-948
7. SECTIONAL VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84 (h)(3)	dated .
Hatching not indicated for sectional portions of an object.	17. DESIGN DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.152
Fig(s)	Surface shading shown not appropriate. Fig(s)
Sectional designation should be noted with Arabic or	Solid black shading not used for color contrast.
Roman numbers. Fig(s)	Fig(s)
COMMENTS	
•	
,	
·	<i>'</i>
, ,	

REVIEWER	DATE TIME TELEPHONE NO.
ATTA CHMENT TO PAPER NO.	